

## Chronology of Events

06.17.11 Email from Elisabeth O'Brien requesting a meeting the following week  
- JN, CS, EO

6.20.11 - 1 PM met w/ Chuck & Betsy around Norfolk County samples tested w/o lab COC. Samples tested by Annie Dookhan. Discussed issue, planned to ask Annie about discrepancy immediately but she was in court and was scheduled for court the following morning.

06.20.11 - 3 PM met w/ Linda Han and briefed her on situation

6.21.11 - EO examined log book. Initials and date had been entered for Quincy samples (suspect). Met w/ Annie, he could not explain the previous lack of documentation and sudden appearance of initials. Annie said Gloria Phillips assigned samples to her. When I stated that from where I sat it looks as if, she entered the signatures to cover up taking the samples, she said, "I can see why you would think that."

JN immediately removed her from testing samples. JN allowed her to finish up paperwork for pending samples.

06.22.11 – JN to Portland, Oregon. Emailed EO and asked her to contact GP and ask about discrepancy.

06.24.11 EO email saying GP stated she always scans & signs immediately

Following week – had PP remove her from GC/MS rotation, made desk space available in 306.

AD – working exclusively on SOPs, discovery packets, testifying in court  
Later changed policy – AD needed to ask PP for MS data

Betsy's Notes

Chronology of Events

mail from Elisabeth O'Brien requesting a meeting the following week  
JN, CS, EO

PM met w/ Chuck & Betsy around Norfolk County samples tested w/o  
COC. Samples tested by Annie Dookhan. Discussed issue, planned  
sk Annie about discrepancy immediately but she was in court and  
scheduled for court the following morning.

PM met w/ Linda Han and briefed her on situation

I examined log book. Initials and date had been entered for Quincy  
spect). Met w/ Annie, he could not explain the previous lack of  
on and sudden appearance of initials. Annie said Gloria Phillips  
amples to her. When I stated that from where I sat it looks as if, she  
signatures to cover up taking the samples, she said, "I can see why  
ink that."

ely removed her from testing samples. JN allowed her to finish up  
or pending samples.

N to Portland, Oregon. Emailed EO and asked her to contact GP  
ask about discrepancy.

EO email saying GP stated she always scans & signs immediately

ek – had PP remove her from GC/MS rotation, made desk space  
06.

exclusively on SOPs, discovery packets, testifying in court  
d policy – AD needed to ask PP for MS data

On 6-16-2011 it was brought to my attention by Shirley Sprague that certain Quincy samples had  
not been properly assigned to Annie. Shirley needed to manually add Annie's name to the  
computer when entering results from the evidence control cards. This is not a necessary step  
when the samples are properly scanned out to a chemist by the evidence officer.

When I reviewed the cards Shirley gave to me I confirmed that the chain of custody was  
incomplete for those samples. When evaluating the scope of potential samples that were  
unaccounted for it was determined that Lab numbers [REDACTED] (Quincy,  
excluding [REDACTED] "cert only" samples) and [REDACTED] Wellesley were not in the safe as they should have been. I looked in the logbook and those  
samples were not manually signed out as is evidence office policy.

At this time I alerted Chuck. We subsequently met with Julie to discuss on June (xx?). I showed  
Chuck and Julie the log book for those lab numbers in question and it was blank, showing no  
transfer of the chain of custody. Just prior to meeting with Annie the log book was again  
reviewed and surprisingly a chain of custody had been entered showing a transfer of those  
samples from Gloria Phillips to Annie on 6-14-2011. However, Gloria had not been in since 6-14-  
2011 and could not have written that chain of custody.

I called Gloria at home to see if she had any recollection of giving Annie the samples with the  
intent of signing them out later and perhaps forgetting to scan the barcodes. She stated that she  
would never do that because it is not policy or procedure to handle samples that way. On June 27  
Gloria returned to work and was able to review the log book chain of custody and said the  
written transfer was not in her handwriting.

During this time frame it was determined that lab numbers [REDACTED]  
Dedham, and [REDACTED] Cohasset also had no chain of custody and were  
analyzed by Annie.

2011